

### Can we obtain MSFD indicators from cetacean strandings data?

G. J. Pierce (1,2), F. L. Read (1), A. Brownlow (3), N. Davison (3), M. ten Doeschate (3), N. Anderson (1), M. Skinner (1), M. B. Santos (1,4), A. Llavona (2,5), C. Saavedra (4), S. Murphy (6), P. Jepson (6), R. Deaville (6), V. Ridoux (7)

In relation to species, descriptor 1 (biodiversity) of the MSFD refers to three criteria: abundance, distribution and population condition. For marine mammals, indicator development has focused on the former two criteria, along with measuring bycatch mortality, based on large-scale surveys and on-board observers on fishing vessels. Strandings monitoring has had an important role under the Habitats Directive but remains under-utilised in the context of the MSFD, not only in relation to descriptor 1, but through information relevant to descriptors 4 (trophic interactions), (8,9) contaminants, (10) marine litter and (11) underwater noise. In part, this underutilization reflects concerns as to the representativeness of strandings. Here we review some of the information on status and threats that can be derived from cetacean strandings, mainly using examples from the UK: (a) population life history parameters such as mortality, reproductive rates, age at sexual maturity and growth rate; (b) individual health and condition indices, including cause of death, disease, parasites, blubber thickness, and body weight; (c) bycatch rate; (d) diet; (e) contaminants, (f) underwater noise and marine litter. Finally, we discuss some of the limitations and biases related to the occurrence of stranding, reporting and data collection, and possible solutions to validate results and correct for biases, including the application of drift models and age-structured models of mortality based on life history theory and use of trauma deaths to represent the “healthy” part of the population.

Keywords: conservation, monitoring, mortality, contaminants, life history, pathology

Contact Author: Graham J Pierce

Contact Author addresses

- (1) Oceanlab, University of Aberdeen, Main Street, Newburgh, Aberdeenshire, AB41 6AA, UK (e-mail [g.j.pierce@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:g.j.pierce@abdn.ac.uk))
- (2) CESAM and Departamento de Biologia, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Author Addresses

- (1) Oceanlab, University of Aberdeen, Main Street, Newburgh, Aberdeenshire, AB41 6AA, UK
- (2) CESAM and Departamento de Biologia, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
- (3) Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, SAC Veterinary Services, Drummondhill, Stratherrick Road, Inverness, IV2 4JZ, UK
- (4) Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Centro Oceanográfico de Vigo, PO Box 1552, 36200 Vigo (Pontevedra), Spain
- (5) CEMMA
- (6) Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK
- (7) University of La Rochelle, 23 Avenue Albert Einstein, 17071 La Rochelle, Cedex 9, France

Co-authors: F. L. Read, A. Brownlow, N. Davison, M. ten Doeschate, N. Anderson, M. Skinner, M. B. Santos, A. Llavona, C. Saavedra, S. Murphy, P. Jepson, R. Deaville, V. Ridoux